

# Integral Geometry and Hamiltonian volume minimizing property of a totally geodesic Lagrangian torus in $S^2 \times S^{2*}$

Hiroshi Iriyeh<sup>†</sup>, Hajime Ono<sup>‡</sup> and Takashi Sakai

## Abstract

We prove that the product of equators  $S^1 \times S^1$  in  $S^2 \times S^2$  is globally volume minimizing under Hamiltonian deformations.

**Key words:** Lagrangian submanifold; Poincaré formula; Hamiltonian stability.

## 1 Introduction and main results

In 1990, Y. G. Oh [4] introduced the notion of *global Hamiltonian stability* of minimal Lagrangian submanifolds in a Kähler manifold and posed the following conjecture:

**Conjecture (Oh).** Let  $M$  be a Kähler-Einstein manifold with an involutive anti-holomorphic isometry  $\tau$ . Suppose that the fixed point set of  $\tau$

$$L := \text{Fix } \tau$$

is also a compact Einstein manifold with positive Ricci curvature. Then for any Hamiltonian isotopy  $\rho \in \text{Ham}(M)$  of  $M$ , we have

$$\text{vol}(\rho(L)) \geq \text{vol}(L).$$

Kleiner and Oh [4] proved that this conjecture is true for the case  $\mathbb{R}P^n \subset \mathbb{C}P^n$  (see also [1]).

---

\*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C40; Secondary 53C65.

<sup>†</sup>The first author is supported by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows 08889.

<sup>‡</sup>The second author is supported by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows 08832.

**Theorem 1 (Kleiner-Oh).** *The standard  $\mathbb{R}P^n \subset \mathbb{C}P^n$  has the least volume among all its images under Hamiltonian isotopies.*

This is the only known example such that the conjecture has been proved affirmatively.

Important examples of Kähler-Einstein manifolds admitting an involutive anti-holomorphic isometry are Hermitian symmetric spaces. Let  $M$  be a Hermitian symmetric space of compact type and  $\tau$  be a canonical involution on  $M$ . Then

$$L := \text{Fix } \tau$$

is a totally geodesic Lagrangian submanifold in  $M$  (which is called a *real form* of  $M$ ). It is interesting to verify the conjecture for such a pair  $(M, L)$ .

In this paper, we shall prove that the same statement as the conjecture is true in the case of  $(S^2 \times S^2 \cong Q_2(\mathbb{C}), S^1 \times S^1)$  although the Lagrangian surface  $S^1 \times S^1$  is *flat*. More precisely,

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $L := S^1 \times S^1$  be a totally geodesic Lagrangian torus in  $(S^2 \times S^2, \omega_0 \oplus \omega_0)$ , where  $\omega_0$  denotes the standard Kähler form of  $S^2(1) \cong \mathbb{C}P^1$ . Then for any Hamiltonian isotopy  $\rho \in \text{Ham}(S^2 \times S^2)$ , we have*

$$\text{vol}(\rho(L)) \geq \text{vol}(L).$$

Our proof is based on the following Lagrangian intersection theorem ([7],[5] and [6]) and a new Poincaré formula for Lagrangian surfaces in  $S^2 \times S^2$ .

**Theorem 3 (Oh).** *Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a compact symplectic manifold such that there exists an integrable almost complex structure  $J$  for which the triple  $(M, \omega, J)$  becomes a compact Hermitian symmetric space. Let  $L = \text{Fix } \tau$  be the fixed point set of an anti-holomorphic involution isometry  $\tau$  on  $M$ . Assume that the minimal Maslov number of  $L$  is greater than or equal to 2. Then for any Hamiltonian isotopy  $\rho \in \text{Ham}(M)$  of  $M$  such that  $L$  and  $\rho(L)$  intersect transversally, the inequality*

$$\sharp(L \cap \rho(L)) \geq \sum_{i=0}^{\dim L} \text{rank} H_i(L, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \quad (1)$$

*holds.*

Since the minimal Maslov number of  $S^1 \times S^1 \subset S^2 \times S^2$  is 2, the assumption of the above theorem is satisfied in our case.

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $N$  and  $L$  be surfaces of  $S^2 \times S^2$ . Suppose that  $N$  is Lagrangian and  $L$  is a product of curves in  $S^2$ . Then the following inequality holds:*

$$4\pi \text{vol}(N) \text{vol}(L) \leq \int_{SO(3) \times SO(3)} \#(N \cap gL) d\mu(g) \leq 16 \text{vol}(N) \text{vol}(L). \quad (2)$$

This formula is interesting in its own right. We remark the equality condition of the inequality (2). The first equality of (2) is fulfilled by, for example, a Lagrangian embedding  $S^2 \ni z \mapsto (z, -z) \in S^2 \times S^2$ . The second equality of (2) holds if and only if the Lagrangian surface  $N$  is also a product of closed curves in  $S^2$ .

## 2 Poincaré formula in Riemannian homogeneous spaces

Here we shall review the generalized Poincaré formula in Riemannian homogeneous spaces obtained by Howard [2].

Let  $U$  be a finite dimensional real vector space with an inner product, and  $V$  and  $W$  vector subspaces of dimension  $p$  and  $q$  in  $U$ , respectively. Take orthonormal bases  $v_1, \dots, v_p$  and  $w_1, \dots, w_q$  of  $V$  and  $W$ , and define

$$\sigma(V, W) = \|v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_p \wedge w_1 \wedge \dots \wedge w_q\|,$$

which is the angle between  $V$  and  $W$ .

Let  $G$  be a Lie group and  $K$  a closed subgroup of  $G$ . We assume that  $G$  has a left invariant Riemannian metric which is also invariant under elements of  $K$ . This metric induces a  $G$ -invariant Riemannian metric on  $G/K$ . For  $x$  and  $y$  in  $G/K$  and vector subspaces  $V$  in  $T_x(G/K)$  and  $W$  in  $T_y(G/K)$ , we define  $\sigma_K(V, W)$ , the angle between  $V$  and  $W$ , by

$$\sigma_K(V, W) = \int_K \sigma((dg_x)_o^{-1}V, dk_o^{-1}(dg_y)_o^{-1}W) d\mu_K(k)$$

where  $g_x$  and  $g_y$  are elements of  $G$  such that  $g_x o = x$  and  $g_y o = y$ . Here we denote by  $o$  the origin of  $G/K$ .

**Theorem 5 (Howard).** *Let  $G/K$  be a Riemannian homogeneous space and assume that  $G$  is unimodular. Let  $N$  and  $L$  be submanifolds of  $G/K$  with  $\dim N + \dim L \geq \dim(G/K)$ . Then*

$$\int_G \text{vol}(N \cap gL) d\mu_G(g) = \int_{N \times L} \sigma_K(T_x^\perp N, T_y^\perp L) d\mu(x, y)$$

holds.

The linear isotropy representation induces an action of  $K$  on the Grassmannian manifold  $G_p(T_o(G/K))$  consisting of all  $p$  dimensional subspaces in the tangent space  $T_o(G/K)$  at  $o$  in a natural way. Although  $\sigma_K(T_x^\perp N, T_y^\perp L)$  is defined as an integral on  $K$ , we can consider that it is defined as an integral on an orbit of  $K$ -action on the Grassmannian manifold. So  $\sigma_K(\cdot, \cdot)$  can be regarded as a function defined on the product of the orbit spaces of such  $K$ -actions. In the case where  $G/K$  is a real space form,  $\sigma_K(T_x^\perp N, T_y^\perp L)$  is constant since  $K$  acts transitively on the Grassmannian manifold. This implies that the Poincaré formula is expressed as a constant times of the product of the volumes of  $N$  and  $L$ . In general, such  $K$ -actions are not transitive. However, if we can define an invariant for orbits of this action, which is called an *isotropy invariant*, then using this we can express the Poincaré formula more explicitly. From this point of view, Tasaki [8] introduced the multiple Kähler angle, which is the invariant for the actions of unitary groups.

### 3 Poincaré formula for Lagrangian surfaces in $S^2 \times S^2$

In this section we define isotropy invariants for surfaces in  $S^2 \times S^2$ , and give a concrete expression of the Poincaré formula for its Lagrangian surfaces.

Let  $G$  be the identity component of the isometry group of  $S^2 \times S^2$ , that is,  $G = SO(3) \times SO(3)$ . Then the isotropy group  $K$  at  $o = (p_1, p_2)$  in  $S^2 \times S^2$  is isomorphic to  $SO(2) \times SO(2)$ , and  $S^2 \times S^2$  is expressed as a coset space  $G/K$ . Assume that  $G$  is equipped with an invariant metric normalized so that  $G/K$  becomes isometric to the product of unit spheres. We decompose the tangent space  $T_o(G/K)$  as

$$T_o(G/K) = T_{p_1}(S^2) \oplus T_{p_2}(S^2).$$

We take orthonormal bases  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  and  $\{e_3, e_4\}$  of  $T_{p_1}(S^2)$  and  $T_{p_2}(S^2)$ , respectively, then a complex structure on  $T_o(G/K)$  is given by

$$Je_1 = e_2, \quad Je_2 = -e_1, \quad Je_3 = e_4, \quad Je_4 = -e_3.$$

We consider the oriented 2-plane Grassmannian manifold  $\tilde{G}_2(T_o(G/K))$ . Take an origin  $V_o := \text{span}\{e_1, e_2\}$ , and express  $\tilde{G}_2(T_o(G/K))$  as a coset space

$$\tilde{G}_2(T_o(G/K)) = SO(4)/(SO(2) \times SO(2)) =: G'/K'.$$

Now we study the  $K$ -action on  $\tilde{G}_2(T_o(G/K))$ , and define isotropy invariants. In this case the actions of  $K$  and  $K'$  on  $\tilde{G}_2(T_o(G/K))$  are equivalent by  $\text{Ad} : K \rightarrow K'$ . Therefore it suffices to consider the orbit space of the isotropy action of  $\tilde{G}_2(T_o(G/K))$ . It is well known that the orbit space of the isotropy action of a symmetric space of compact type can be identified with a fundamental cell of a maximal torus. Hence we can define the isotropy invariant by a coordinate of a maximal torus. We denote by  $\mathfrak{g}'$  and  $\mathfrak{k}'$  the Lie algebra of  $G'$  and  $K'$ , respectively. Then we have a canonical orthogonal direct sum decomposition  $\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{k}' \oplus \mathfrak{m}'$  of  $\mathfrak{g}'$ , where

$$\mathfrak{m}' = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ -{}^tX & O \end{pmatrix} \mid X \in M_2(\mathbb{R}) \right\}.$$

We take a maximal abelian subspace  $\mathfrak{a}'$  of  $\mathfrak{m}'$  as follows:

$$\mathfrak{a}' = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ -{}^tX & O \end{pmatrix} \mid X = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_2 \end{pmatrix}, \theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Then the set of positive restricted roots of  $(\mathfrak{g}', \mathfrak{k}')$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{a}'$  is

$$\Delta = \{\theta_1 + \theta_2, \theta_1 - \theta_2\}.$$

So we have a fundamental cell  $C$  of  $\mathfrak{a}'$ :

$$C = \left\{ Y = \begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ -{}^tX & O \end{pmatrix} \mid X = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{matrix} 0 \leq \theta_1 + \theta_2 \leq \pi \\ 0 \leq \theta_1 - \theta_2 \leq \pi \end{matrix} \right\}.$$

Thus the isotropy invariants of this case is given by  $\theta_1 + \theta_2$  and  $\theta_1 - \theta_2$ . It is easy to see that the geometric meaning of  $\theta_1 - \theta_2$  is the Kähler angle of 2-dimensional subspace  $\text{Exp}Y$  of  $T_o(G/K)$ . On the other hand, there is the other complex structure  $J'$  which is defined by

$$J'e_1 = e_2, J'e_2 = -e_1, J'e_3 = -e_4, J'e_4 = e_3$$

on  $T_o(G/K)$ . We can also check that  $\theta_1 + \theta_2$  is the Kähler angle of  $\text{Exp}Y$  with respect to  $J'$ .

We attempt to obtain the explicit expression of the Poincaré formula applying the isotropy invariants which we defined above to Theorem 5. Let  $N$  and  $L$  be surfaces in  $S^2 \times S^2$ . We take orthonormal bases  $\{u_1, u_2\}$  and  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  of  $(dg_x)_o^{-1}(T_x^\perp N)$  and  $(dg_y)_o^{-1}(T_y^\perp L)$ , respectively. By the definition, we have

$$\sigma_K(T_x^\perp N, T_y^\perp L) = \int_K \|u_1 \wedge u_2 \wedge k^{-1}(v_1 \wedge v_2)\| d\mu_K(k).$$

Furthermore, by the Hodge star operator,

$$\sigma_K(T_x^\perp N, T_y^\perp L) = \int_K |\langle u'_1 \wedge u'_2, k^{-1}(v_1 \wedge v_2) \rangle| d\mu_K(k),$$

where  $\{u'_1, u'_2\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $(dg_x)_o^{-1}(T_x N)$ . We put

$$a = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \phi & \sin \phi & & \\ -\sin \phi & \cos \phi & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \cos \psi & \sin \psi \\ & & -\sin \psi & \cos \psi \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $k = b^{-1}a$ , then we have

$$\sigma_K(T_x^\perp N, T_y^\perp L) = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\langle a(u'_1 \wedge u'_2), b(v_1 \wedge v_2) \rangle| d\phi d\psi. \quad (3)$$

Since without loss of generalities we can assume that  $(dg_x)_o^{-1}(T_x^\perp N)$  and  $(dg_y)_o^{-1}(T_y^\perp L)$  are in  $\text{Exp}C$ , we can take  $\{u'_1, u'_2\}$  and  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  as follows:

$$u'_1 = \sin \theta_1 e_1 + \cos \theta_1 e_3, \quad u'_2 = \sin \theta_2 e_2 + \cos \theta_2 e_4, \quad (4)$$

$$v_1 = \cos \tau_1 e_1 - \sin \tau_1 e_3, \quad v_2 = \cos \tau_2 e_2 - \sin \tau_2 e_4, \quad (5)$$

with isotropy invariants  $\theta_1 \pm \theta_2$  and  $\tau_1 \pm \tau_2$ . So we can express the integration of (3) using  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ . It is complicated to express this general form, so we shall show for some special cases which is needed to prove our main theorem.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $N$  and  $L$  be Lagrangian surfaces in  $S^2 \times S^2$ . We assume that  $L$  is a product of curves in  $S^2$ . Then we have*

$$\int_G \sharp(N \cap gL) d\mu(g) = 4\text{vol}(L) \int_N \text{length}(\text{Ellip}(\sin^2 \theta_x, \cos^2 \theta_x)) d\mu(x),$$

where  $2\theta_x - \pi/2$  is the Kähler angle of  $T_x^\perp N$  with respect to  $J'$  and  $\text{Ellip}(\alpha, \beta)$  denotes an ellipse defined by

$$\frac{x^2}{\alpha^2} + \frac{y^2}{\beta^2} = 1.$$

*Proof.* Since  $N$  is a Lagrangian surface,  $\theta_1 - \theta_2 = \pi/2$  in (4), so we put

$$\theta_1 = \theta, \quad \theta_2 = \theta - \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

On the other hand,  $L$  is Lagrangian with respect to both  $J$  and  $J'$ , that is,  $\tau_1 = \pi/2$  and  $\tau_2 = 0$  in (5). Therefore from (3) we have

$$\sigma_K(T_x^\perp N, T_y^\perp L) = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\cos \phi \cos \psi \cos^2 \theta + \sin \phi \sin \psi \sin^2 \theta| d\phi d\psi.$$

In [3], Kang calculated this type of integrals directly and expressed it by elliptic functions. But we give here a geometrical simple computation. Now we take a subspace which is given by

$$V = \text{span}\{e_2 \wedge e_3, e_2 \wedge e_4\}$$

in  $\Lambda^2(T_o(G/K))$ . Then  $b(v_1 \wedge v_2)$  moves on the unit circle in  $V$  with the parameter  $\psi$ . Let  $P$  denote the orthogonal projection from  $\Lambda^2(T_o(G/K))$  to  $V$ . From (3) we have

$$\sigma_K(T_x^\perp N, T_y^\perp L) = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\langle P(a(u'_1 \wedge u'_2)), b(v_1 \wedge v_2) \rangle| d\phi d\psi.$$

Here  $P(a(u'_1 \wedge u'_2))$  moves with parameter  $\phi$  on the ellipse which defined by

$$\frac{x^2}{\cos^4 \theta} + \frac{y^2}{\sin^4 \theta} = 1$$

in  $V$ . Hence we put

$$r_\phi = \sqrt{\cos^2 \phi \cos^4 \theta + \sin^2 \phi \sin^4 \theta},$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_K(T_x^\perp N, T_y^\perp L) &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |r_\phi \cos \psi| d\psi d\phi \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} r_\phi d\phi \int_0^{2\pi} |\cos \psi| d\psi \\ &= 4 \text{length}(\text{Ellip}(\sin^2 \theta, \cos^2 \theta)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we complete the proof from Theorem 5. □

Proposition 4 is immediately obtained from Theorem 6.

## 4 Proof of the main theorem

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Let  $L := S^1 \times S^1$  be a totally geodesic Lagrangian torus in  $S^2 \times S^2$ . Let  $\rho$  be a Hamiltonian isotopy of  $S^2 \times S^2$ . By inequalities (1) and (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
16\text{vol}(\rho(L))\text{vol}(L) &\geq \int_{SO(3) \times SO(3)} \#(\rho(L) \cap gL) d\mu(g) \\
&= \int_{SO(3) \times SO(3)} \#(g^{-1} \circ \rho(L) \cap L) d\mu(g) \\
&\geq \int_{SO(3) \times SO(3)} \sum_{i=0}^2 \text{rank} H_i(L, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) d\mu(g) \\
&= 4\text{vol}(SO(3) \times SO(3)).
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\text{vol}(SO(3)) = 8\pi^2$  and  $\text{vol}(L) = 4\pi^2$ , we have

$$\text{vol}(\rho(L)) \geq 4\pi^2 = \text{vol}(L).$$

□

## Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Professor Yong-Guen Oh for some helpful comments on the original version of this paper.

## References

- [1] A. B. Givental, *The Nonlinear Maslov index*, London Mathematical society Lecture Note Series **151** (1990), 35-43
- [2] R. Howard, *The kinematic formula in Riemannian homogeneous spaces*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., No.509, **106** (1993).
- [3] H. J. Kang, *Integral geometry on product of spheres*, Tsukuba J. Math., 26 (2002), no. 1, 61–68.
- [4] Y.G. Oh, *Second variation and stabilities of minimal lagrangian sub-manifolds in Kähler manifolds*, Invent. Math. **101** (1990), 501-519.

- [5] Y.G. Oh, *Floer cohomology of Lagrangian intersections and pseudo-holomorphic disks, I*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **46** (1993), 949-993.
- [6] Y.G. Oh, *Addendum to "Floer cohomology of Lagrangian intersections and pseudo-holomorphic disks, I"*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **48** (1995), 1299-1302.
- [7] Y.G. Oh, *Floer cohomology of Lagrangian intersections and pseudo-holomorphic disks, III: Arnold-Givental Conjecture*, The Floer Memorial Volume, Birkhauser, Progress in Math. **133** (1995), 555-573.
- [8] H. Tasaki, *Generalization of Kähler angle and integral geometry in complex projective spaces*, in Steps in Differential Geometry, Proceedings of Colloquium on Differential Geometry, Debrecen, 2000, Published by the Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, University of Debrecen, 2001, pp.349–361. Available electronically at: <http://www.emis.de/proceedings/CDGD2000/>

Hiroshi Iriyeh

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE,  
TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY, MINAMI-OHSAWA 1-1, HACHIOJI,  
TOKYO 192-0397, JAPAN

*E-mail address* : `hirie@comp.metro-u.ac.jp`

Hajime Ono

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE,  
TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY, MINAMI-OHSAWA 1-1, HACHIOJI,  
TOKYO 192-0397, JAPAN

*E-mail address* : `onola@comp.metro-u.ac.jp`

Takashi Sakai

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE,  
TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY, MINAMI-OHSAWA 1-1, HACHIOJI,  
TOKYO 192-0397, JAPAN

*E-mail address* : `tsakai@comp.metro-u.ac.jp`